

A philological study of Crow (Apsáalooke) and Hidatsa (Hiráaca)

Edwin Ko¹, Randolph Graczyk², John Boyle³,
Anna Calkins¹, Conan Thibodeau¹

¹Yale University, ²Appleton, WI, ³California State University, Fresno

Background

There has been a long history of philological research on ancient and classical languages, but more recently, philology has also been conducted on Indigenous languages of the Americas and Australia.

There are several reasons why one might want to conduct philology:

- To elucidate the history of the language and the sociolinguistic and sociohistorical context of its speakers
- To reclaim and revitalize languages with no known fluent speakers

Note that philology involves examining not only textual documents, but also audio recordings. However, in this talk, we focus on written records

Specifically, we analyze historical records produced by colonists, missionaries, explorers, often referred to as *legacy* or *colonial materials*. We prefer the latter term as it was during the colonial period that these materials were made.

Background

Anyone who has worked with James O. Dorsey's materials or on the dormant and reawakening language varieties, such as Tutelo-Saponi, Mandan, Biloxi, and Ofo, would be engaging in philology.

But the history of Siouan philology has often been subject to criticisms.

For example, Mary Haas (1969) remarks, "In spite of Swanton's repeated emphasis on [aspiration], many Siouanists, including Wolff and Matthews, have simplified the transcription of Ofo."

Robert Rankin, who was a major proponent of philology, has spent most of academic career examining historical documents on the Dhegihan, especially Quapaw and Kansa, and Ohio Valley languages, especially those created by Dorsey (e.g. Rankin 1980, Rankin 1981, Rankin 1990, Rankin 1994, Rankin and Oliverio 2003, Rankin and Shea n.d., Rankin n.d.).

Graczyk (1998, 2003, 2005)

Graczyk (1998, 2003) examined Jesuit materials. Graczyk (2005) examined Hayden's materials, comparing them with the Jesuit materials and Lowie's materials. He noted the following observations:

1. The distribution of allophones *b/w/m* and *d/r/n* were becoming less unpredictable over time and conformed more to the distribution of contemporary Crow.
2. Although the Jesuit and Lowie materials had [ts], Hayden's materials had both [ts] and [tʃ].

“Is it possible that the Hayden materials contain forms from two different dialects?” (Graczyk 2005)

Data and methods

Data

In addition to the Hayden, Jesuit, and Lowie materials, we also examined other historical records of Crow. We also examine records of Hidatsa, which was formerly referred to as Minitaree.

We focus on vocabulary lists, especially words that we suspect occur in most of the documents (e.g. words that occur in lists of basic vocabulary).

Some of the documents are found at the National Anthropological Archives, where the original fieldnotes are housed. Other documents were accessed in other archives or are publicly accessible on the Internet.

Crow sources: Isham 1743 and Say 1823

36

A VOCABULARY OF

Earchethinue Language in a nother part of the Country	one	U'ma tau
	two	Nu paw
	three	nu'm
	four	su pa
	five	chau'k
	Six	au ker
	Seven	sar po
	Eight	nu paw pe
	nine	U 'ma ta pe
	ten	Pi uck

Ūp-sá-ró-ká, or Crow Language.

White people, másh-té-sé-ré— <i>yellow eyes.</i>	Knife, mit-sé
Pawkees or Black-feet, é-chíp-é-tá	What, sá-pá
Poor, báts-ish-cát	Near, ásh-ká
Powerful or strong, báts-átsh	Friend, shé-ká
Good, é-tschick	To eat, bá-boush-mék
Bad, káb-béak	Gunpowder, bé-rúps-spá
Bison, bé-shá	Little, é-rò-ká-tá
Bison bull, ché-rá-pá	Name which they give to the Sioux nation, már-án-shò-bish- kó— <i>or the cut throats</i>
Beaver, bé-ráp-pá	Young woman, mé-ká-tá
Tobacco, ó-pá	Water, mé-né
Where, shó	Fire, bé-dá
Far, há-m-á-tá	Wood, món-á
Mountain, ám-á-thá-bá	River, án-shá
Elk, é-ché-ré-cá-té— <i>little horse</i>	Horse, é-ché-rá
Finished or completed, kár-á-kò- túk	No, bár-á-tá

Crow numerals

	Isham c.1743	Hayden c.1862	Lowie c.1907	Medicine Horse c.1987
'one'	U'ma tau	ha-mat'	hawáte	hawáte
'two'	Nu paw	nōp	dú:pə, nú:pə	dúupe
'three'	nu'm	nam	dá:wi	dáawiia
'four'	su pa	shōp	có:p(e)	shoopé
'five'	chau'k	tsih'-ōp	tsəxó	chiaxxó
'six'	au ker	a-ka'-mak	aká:wa	akaawé
'seven'	sar po	há'-pu-a	(i)sá'pua	sáhpua
'eight'	nu paw pe	no'-pa-pe	nú:pa'pi	dúupahpe
'nine'	U 'ma ta pe	a-ma'-ta-pe	á'piə	hawátahpe
'ten'	Pi uck	pi-ra-ka'	pirəké	pilaké

Table: Transcriptions of numerals in Crow.

Hayden's fieldnotes to his 1862 publication

74.75
to Dr Hayden 1866
COMPARATIVE VOCABULARY.
at Sa-so-he *Minnesota* *Ba-ti*

1 man Ba-ti Ba-ti
 2 woman Mi-e-ha-to Mi-a
 3 boy Shi-ka-tie ma-he-sik-to-ka
 4 girl Mi-e-ha-mi-to ma-he-sik-to-ka
 5 infant Ba-ha-to
 6 father Ma-nip-sha-tie
 7 mother Ba-sak-i i-kush
 8 husband Ba-ti-shak ma-he-sik-to-ka
 9 wife mi-a ma-to-e-ka
 10 son ma-nah-ha-tie Ba-di-sha
 11 daughter ma-nah-mi-a Ba-kach
 12 brother Ba-ti-pe Ba-ta-ha-tie
 13 sister Ba-s-mi-a Ba-ta-mi-a
 14 Indians, people tie-muk-pah-ta-re hi-ma-ta
 15 head a-shu-a a-ti
 16 hair i-shi-a a-da
 17 face i-se hi-ta
 18 forehead
 19 ear a-pu-a a-pa
 20 eye i-sta i-sta
 21 nose a-pi ma-pi
 22 mouth o-ma-a a-pu-a-to
 23 tongue da-zhe di-zhe
 24 teeth i-a i-a
 25 beard a-pi-a a-i-ke
 26 neck a-pi-a a-pa
 27 arm a-re a-ra
 28 hand i-tie sha-hi
 29 fingers i-shu-re sha-hi-ru
 30 hands i-tah-pa-a sha-hi-ka-pa
 31 body a-hu-a a-hu-a
 32 leg hu-re i-di-ke

1352

the shelter of their mud cabins. Still, from Apple River below to the Great Bend of the Missouri above, the country is more hunted by these Indians than by any others, and consequently, it may be called the territory of the three tribes residing in mud villages, though in reality it is neutral ground belonging to particular tribes.

VOCABULARY OF THE MINNITAREE DIALECT OF THE AUB-SA'-HO-KE OR CROW LANGUAGE.

above, ma-ku'-ka.	cold, ci-di'-a.	girl, ma-ka-ris'-ti-mi'-a, a little girl.
afraid, bi-di'-an, I am afraid.	cow, bi-ti'-a.	go, da, to go.
alive, i'-di.	bi-ta-ku'-sha, a young cow.	good, sa-ki'.
all, huk-e-hi'-ta.	crow, pe-ris'-sha'.	great, a-ru'-ke-si'-di.
ankle, i'-ta-ro-shu'-ka.	cry, i-bi'.	green, to-hi-hi'.
antelope, hi'i.		gun, o-wu'-se-we-du'-ha.
arm, a-sa'.	dance, di'-sha, to dance.	
arrow, a-ru'-ti-sha.	day, ma'-pi.	hail, ma-ka-pi'-a-wi.
autumn, ma-sa'.	dead, ti'-sh.	hair, a-da'.
axe, bi-pi-sa'.	deer, di-ta'-pi-sha, black-tailed deer.	hand, sha-ke'.
	die, dish.	handsome, sa-ki-ku'-a.
back, ish-i-ti'-ru.	dog, bi-de'-da.	hawk, ma-ki'-ru-ki.
bad, ish'-a.	di'-pa, prairie dog.	head, a-ti.
beard, i-ki'.	drink, ma-ta'-ko-di, to drink.	head-dress, ma-i-hu'-a-po-ka.
black, shi-pish'-a.	duck, mi-ha-k'-a.	hear, bi-ke-ku'-a, to hear.
bladder, a'-shi-ka-ruh'-o.		heart, na-ta'.
blood, i'-de.	car, a'-pa.	heaven, a-pah'-e-ru'-sha.
bone, ma'-di-ki.	earth, a-wa'.	heel, i'-de-ki.
bow, bi-ru'-ha-pa'-ro-wa.	line, sha-pa'-di.	hill, bi-i'.
boy, ma-ka-ris'-te-ma'-so, a little boy.	boat, ma'-te.	hot, bi-ca'-we.
bread, e'-wa-ki.	body, a-hu'-a.	house, a'-mi-ti.
breechcloth, ma'-i-dip-sha'-ki.	bone, ma'-di-ki.	husband (my), ma-ki'-da.
brother, ha'-ta-wa'-ce.	boy, bi-ru'-ha-pa'-ro-wa.	
bull, ki'-ro-pi.	boy.	face, bi-ta'.
burn, o'-te, to burn.	breast, e'-wa-ki.	far off, ti'-sh.
by, u-te'-ru.	breechcloth, ma'-i-dip-sha'-ki.	father, ta-tish'.
tut'-a, by and by.	brother, ha'-ta-wa'-ce.	fn, i-to-i-ka-te.
	bull, ki'-ro-pi.	finger, sha-ki'-nu.
	burn, o'-te, to burn.	fire, bi'-da.
	by, u-te'-ru.	fish, bu'-a.
	tut'-a, by and by.	bu-a-ka'-te, a catfish.
		bu-a-na'-ka, roe of a fish.
		bu-a-ca'-sha, small fish.
call, no-ka-ci'-ri.	fish, bu'-a.	lake, bi-di'-ka-ku'-pe.
call, ba-ki-ko'-ha.	fish, no-ka-ci'-ri.	flint, ba-ci-ka'-sha.
chiokadee, is-ko-pi'.	flint, ba-ci-ka'-sha.	foot, ma'-ci.
chief, ha-tes'-ti-se.	foot, ma'-ci.	leg, i-di'-ke.
coat, ma-i-to'-ka.	forever, ko-di'-te.	leggin, hop'-ce'.
	friend, ba-e-ku'-e.	light, a-muh'-a-hi.

Edward Curtis' comparative vocabulary

ANATOMICAL TERMS

<i>English</i>	<i>Apsaroke</i>	<i>Hidatsa</i>
ankle-joint	i-fsa-dha-hi-shě (foot where lump)	i-fsi-dhu-pi-du-á-kù
arm	á-dhě	á-dha
blood	i-dhě	i-di
bone	hu-dhě	hi-dhú
chest	du-shú-ű	a-pá-ta
chin	ih-kyě	ih-ka
ear	ah-pě	ah-pá
elbow	i-shpa-hě	ish-pa-hě
eye	i-shté	i-shtá
face	i-sě	i-tá
finger	i-shku-dhě	shá-ki-a-du-fsá-mi-he
finger-nail	i-shpu-hpě	shá-ki-hpú
foot	i-fsě	i-fsí
hair	i-shíě	a-dhá
hand	i-shchě	shá-ki
head	a-shú-ű	ah-tú
heart	da-sě	na-tá
knee	i-sú-shě	i-hú-a-ha
leg	i-hu-dhě	i-di-ki
lip	i-da-hpě	i-de-ta (mouth edge)
lungs	dá-ho	ná-hu

Methodology

Overall, we examined the 24 Crow and 9 Hidatsa doculects (i.e. language as recorded in historical documents); these are given in the references.

We transcribed the earliest records of Crow and then gradually increased the number of vocabulary until we obtained ~180 lexical items. Then, we transcribed Hidatsa for the same ~180 lexical items.

Many of the Crow materials were transcribed in Google docs, which were at least double- and triple-checked before being transferred to the Google sheet for interpretation and analysis.

Google Doc

T

44	To beat. whip	Bä=reet'=bic [balftbiik]
45	↳To embrace. hug.	Ne=a=wä'=tsic [diiawáchisshik]
46	Talk to him	Che=wä' [chiwaáh]
47	There it is	Na Cour=rä [koolá(k)]
48	Tell her to come here	E'=de'=o
49	Tell her (or him) to go.	Con=de=ra=me=o Tse=mä=o (or) Hä=tse=mä'=o [chiwaáu]
50	They are not mine	Be Ba-ü=sack [biiwaáussaak]
51	Take them away	Rutä, rutä. Dä! [Dúttah dúttah dáah]
52	The house is shut up.	Su=tsu'=ta (Means, that the door is strong.)
53	This is all I have	Coo=tsits=cot-te Bi'=ü-ü



Conan Thibodeau

2:20 PM Jan 15

Unsure abt this



Edwin Ko

10:19 AM Jan 19

Looks right, i think



Randolph Graczyk

4:16 AM Jan 31

I love you. There's a syllable missing in awáchisshik, but I think that's what this is supposed to be.



Randolph Graczyk

4:16 AM Jan 31

tell him



Randolph Graczyk

4:35 AM Jan 31

Google Sheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1		1743, p.36	1823, p.79	1832-1834, p.405	1836, p377	1845	1848, p.83-89	1853, p.255-256	1850s-1980s (MS	1862, p.396-420	1861 (MS1356)	1868 (MS2066)
2		Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	River Crow?	River Crow?	River Crow?	Mountain Crow?	Mountain Crow?	Mountain Crow?	River Crow?	Unclear
3	English	Isham	Say	Maximilian	Gallatin	Latham	Hale	Schoolcraft	Hayden	Hayden	Brown	Belden
4	one	U'ma tau				amutcat	ah mu't cat			ha-mat'	Mus-cat	Mut'=cot
5	two	Nu paw				noomcat	noom' cat			nōp	Nup-cat	Nūm'=cot
6	three	nu'm				namenacat	nam'ena cat			nam	Nā-me	Ē-nom'=e=cot
7	four	su pa				shopecat	shope cat			shōp	Che-o-cā-te	Shope'=cot
8	five	chau'k				chihhocat	chi hhó caat			tsih'-ōp	Chó-ho-cat	Tsochoc=o=cot'
9	six	au ker				ahcamacat	ah cam a cat			a-ka'-mak	Ah-ka-ma-cat	Ā=cā'=wo=cot
10	seven	sar po				sappoah	sap' pó ah			há'-pu-a	Sap-o-cat	Sāp'=pou=cot
11	eight	nu paw pe				noompape	noom' pa pe			no'-pa-pe		Num'=pā=pā
12	nine	U 'ma ta pe				ahmuttappe	ah mut tap pe			a-ma'-ta-pe	Ka-ma-tā-pe-cat	A=mut'=a=pe
13	ten	Pi uck				perakuk	pe ra ku'k			pi-ra-ka'	Pe-da-cat	
14	alive						it shá sa					
15	all, many						ah hook					Ā=hoocs'
16	arm			áhdä			bár re			ba-re		Mā'=ra
17	arrow			annúhtä		ahnailz	ah nú i te			a-nu'-e-te		A=neun'=ta
18	autumn						bis sá			ba-se'		
19	axe						mách e pa			ma-čí'-pe		Mā'-tse=pa
20	bad		kab-beak		kabbeaik	kubbeek	kub béek	kabbeaik		ka-wi'	Ca-wiek	Cā-wete'
21	bark						é she					
22	bear					duhpitsa	duk p'it sa			dañ-pit-se'		Oc=pe=tsa'
23	beard						é sha é sha			mi'-e-tsi-e		Be's=ska

Challenges

Naturally, there are many challenges in transcribing and interpreting the historical documents recorded by explorers, colonists, missionaries, military personnels, and among others:

- Lack of provenance information
- Variation in orthography
- Mistranslations and/or mistranscriptions
- Morpheme consistency
- ...

For more information on the challenges of philology across the Americas and Australia, see Boas (1889), Broadbent (1957), Goddard (1973), Amery (2000:Ch.2), Rudes (2002), Bown (2003), Crowley and Austin (2005), Graczyk (2005), Broadwell and Lillehaugen (2013), Austin (2017), Begay et al. (2021), Dobrin and Schwartz (2021), and among many others.

Challenges (cont.)

The pathway from fieldnotes to published wordlists go through various stages of revision and edits.

Broadbent (1957:277) emphasizes using the earlier, primary data according to two underlying assumptions:

1. The observations and fieldnotes taken at the time of the event, rather than in letters a few days later will be most reliable.
2. Copying produces errors.

Unfortunately, we are limited by what we have access to and more often than not, people do not archive their fieldnotes. In fact, many of the fieldnotes were not collected by the authors themselves but by other people, especially outsiders, who were much more familiar with the language (e.g. Robert Meldrum, Kenneth McKenzie).

Results

Spoiler alert: A preview of our observations

1. There may have been dialects of Crow and Hidatsa, as gleaned from sound changes involving Proto-Crow-Hidatsa *k and *ts.
2. The predictable allophonic distribution of the variants *b/w/m* and *d//n* in Crow, and *m/w/b* and *n/r/d* in Hidatsa emerged in the latter half of the 19th century, the same time when reservations were first being established.
3. Hidatsa shows remnants of vowel nasalization on a scattering of words in the earlier documents, suggesting loss of nasal vowels had not fully run its course until the mid-19th century.

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

The Crow word for ‘bison bull’ is presented on the right.

If one had only accessed records of Crow from 1862, one would be unaware that <ch> = [tʃ] was present as early as 1823.

The Hidatsa cognate maintains word-initial *k*, *ke-e-ra-pe* (Say 1823), *kihrapi* (Maximilian 1832-1834), *keeeerepee* (Scoolcraft 1853), *ki'-ro-pi* (Hayden 1862), *kedapi* (Matthews 1877).

Crow: *k > tʃ and *k (> tʃ?) > ts

Hidatsa: *k > k (no change)

BISON BULL	*k > tʃ	*k > (> tʃ?) > ts
Say 1823	che-ra-pa	
Gallatin 1836	cheeraypay	
Schoolcraft 1853	cheeraypay	
Belden 1868		Se´=do=pu
Laslow 1899		Tsirapá-
Boschi 1898		Zirupe
Curtis 1909		tsi-dǔ-pě
Lowie 1907		tsí·rupe
Graczyk	chíilape	

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

FIVE	*k > tʃ	*k (> tʃ?) > ts
Isham 1743	chau'k	
Latham 1845	chihhocat	
Hale 1848	chi hhó caat	
Brown 1861	Chó-ho-cat	
Hayden 1862		tsih'-ōp
Belden 1868		Tsochoc=o=c ot'
Geisdorf 1869		tsi-kho
Boschi 1898		zigu, zigukáte

FIVE	*k > tʃ	*k > (> tʃ?) ts
Curtis 1909		tsí-ŭ-xu
Lowie 1907		tsəxó
Kaschube 1953	čiaxxo	
Medicine Horse 1987	chiaxxó	
Graczyk	chiaxxukáate	

The Crow word for 'five' is presented on the left and above.

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

The Hidatsa cognates are displayed in the table on the right.

In addition to forms that exhibit *k > k (no change), certain forms show a *k > tʃ change found also in Crow.

Note that Maximilian <ch> and perhaps Hayden <çh> is [x].

Crow: *k > tʃ and *k (> tʃ?) > ts

Hidatsa: *k > tʃ, *k > k (no change)

FIVE	*k > tʃ	*k > k (no change)
Say 1823	che-ʈhoh	
Maximilian 1832-1834		kechú
Latham 1845	cheehoh	
Hayden 1862		kiçh-u
W. Matthews 1877		kiliu
Harris and Voeglin 1938-1939		iikixʰú
Boyle and Gwin 2006		kihxú

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

The Crow word for 'bear' is presented on in the table on the right.

The Hidatsa cognate maintains *ts*, *lah-pet-ze* (Say 1823), *lachpitzi* (Maximilian 1832-1834), *dach-pít-si* (Hayden, undated), *lahpeetze* (Schoolcraft 1853), *dalipitsi* (Matthews 1877), *raxpic'í* (Harris & Voegelin 1938-1939), *naxpiccí* (Boyle & Gwin 2006).

Crow: *ts > tʃ and *ts > ts (no change)

Hidatsa: *ts > ts (no change)

BEAR	*ts > tʃ	*ts > ts (no change)
Latham 1845		duhpitsa
Hale 1848		duk p'it sa
Hayden 1862		dañ-pit-se'
Belden 1868		Oc=pe=tsa'
Geisdorf 1869		tsi-kho
Boschi 1898		dagpizè
Laslow 1899		Dah'pitsé
Lowie 1907		daxpitsé, naxpitsé
Kaschube 1953	raxpic'á:	
Graczyk	daxpitchée	

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

KNIFE	*ts > tf	*ts > ts (no change)
Say 1823		mit-se
Gallatin 1836		mitsee
Hale 1848		mitsa
Schoolcraft 1853		mitsee
Brown 1861	Mit-che-a	
Hayden 1862		mit-si'-e
Belden 1868	Mitch'=a	
Geisdorf 1869		míts-yě
Anon pre-1879	Mitch.ea	

KNIFE	*ts > tf	*ts > ts (no change)
Boschi 1898		mízia
Lowie 1907		bítsia
Kaschube 1953	-wíčči-	
Graczyk	bítchiia	

The Crow word for 'knife' is displayed on the left and above.

Observation #1: Dialects of Crow and Hidatsa

The Hidatsa cognate is shown in the table on the right.

Hayden <ć> is presumably [tʃ] since he also uses <ts> for [ts].

Crow: *ts > tʃ and *ts > ts (no change)

Hidatsa: *ts > tʃ and *ts > ts (no change)

KNIFE	*ts > tʃ	*ts > ts (no change)
Say 1823		mat-ze
Maximilian 1832-4		máhtsi
Latham 1845		matzee
Hayden 1862	ba-ći'	
W. Matthews 1877		maetsi
Boyle & Gwin 2006		méʔecci

Interim summary

- What we are essentially see is phonological variation in both Crow and Hidatsa; certain sound changes have occurred for some words but not for others.
- What exactly were the social variables may no longer be recoverable.
- Some authors varied in [k], [ts], and [tʃ] – does this represent interspeaker or intraspeaker variation?
- We have no way of knowing unless we are able to obtain information about whether the authors worked with more than one speaker.

Observation #2: Dialect leveling

Contemporary Crow *b/w/m* and *d/l/n* has the following distribution:

- *b* and *d* occur word-initially and adjacent to non-nasal obstruents
- *w* and *l* (sometimes written as *r*) occur intervocalically
- *m* and *n* occur elsewhere

Overall, our observations agree with Graczyk's (2005) remarks:

“However, these early sources do give us snapshots of sound change in progress. In Meldrum [i.e. Hayden] we have evidence of the allophones *b/m/w* and *d/n/r* occurring in all positions, with the nasal commonly occurring between vowels. In the Jesuit materials we still find *m* and *n* intervocalically, but these allophones are much less common. By Lowie's time we have essentially the distribution that we find today, with *b* and *d* occurring word initially, *w* and *r(l)* between vowels, and *m* and *n* elsewhere.”

Observation #2: Dialect leveling

Contemporary Hidatsa *m/w/b* and *n/r/d* has the following distribution:

- *m* and *n* occur word-initially
- *w* and *r* occur word-internally
- *b* and *d* occur in coda position or word-finally (also a coda position)

However, we also note *b* and *d* in earlier Hidatsa words (e.g. *bída* ‘fire’, *búa* ‘fish’, *bídi* ‘water’, *bidá* ‘wood’) suggesting one of at least two things:

1. Influence from Crow speakers.
2. Sound changes were underway in both Crow and Hidatsa.

The fact that Crow ‘water’ and ‘wood’ are consistently transcribed as *miné* and *mané*, respectively, suggests that (2) is more likely than (1).

Observation #2: Dialect leveling

We suggest that the consolidation of the phonological inventories of Crow and Hidatsa (i.e. the predictable distribution of the allophonic variants) came about due to the relegation of the Crow and Hidatsa people to reservations in the latter half of the 19th century.

As Graczyk (2005) notes, “According to Goes Ahead (p.c. 2005) [the Crow tribal historian], the Mountain Crows settled at Pryor and St. Xavier (the Big Horn Valley), the Kicked in the Bellies established themselves in Lodge Grass and along the upper reaches of the Little Horn River, and the River Crows could be found around Crow Agency and the lower Little Horn valley.”

Observation #3: Remnants of nasal vowels

Graczyk (2005) comments before speculating: “It should be noted that all three of these words [i.e. *mané* ‘wood’, *miné* ‘water’, and *umate* ‘metal, iron’] contain either nasal obstruents or nasalized vowels in many of the Siouan languages. Perhaps these words are the last traces of nasalized vowels in Crow, or at least a period in the history of Crow when nasals were distinct phonemes.”

Observation #3: Remnants of nasal vowels

The word for ‘boat; canoe’ in Hidatsa was recorded by Say as “a-man-ta” and Maximilian as “*máhn-ti* (*mahn* nasal; *n* French; *ti* short)” (cf. *máahti*, Boyle & Gwin 2004).

It is perhaps noteworthy that the Comparative Siouan Dictionary (CSD; Rankin et al. 2012) contains the reconstructed Proto-Siouan form *Wá•te, with two Siouan languages—Omaha-Ponca and Quapaw—displaying nasality in cognates maḡdé and maḡ(t)é, respectively.

Hidatsa is also commonly believed to be more conservative than Crow, maintaining many linguistic features from Proto-Crow-Hidatsa, while Crow underwent various independent changes.

Observation #3: Remnants of nasal vowels

Q. Should we reconstruct nasal vowels in Proto-Crow-Hidatsa?

Maybe yes?

For ‘head’ in Hidatsa, Say records “an-too”, Maximilian records “*ah-tú* (*ah* nasal; *tu* very short explosive)”, and Latham records “antu “ (cf. P*Si* *rąt).

Interestingly, for ‘head’ in Crow, Maximilian also records “*ənschua* (an French; sch and u separated; a short)”.

But maybe not?

For ‘father’ in Hidatsa, Say records “tan-ta” (cf. P*Si* *táati) while Maximilian of Wied records “*əhtuch* (*ah* nasal)” (cf. P*Si* *-áati).

Discussion and future directions

Discussion

In doing philology, we obtain aspects of the languages and the social context that we might not otherwise.

Social and evolutionary dynamics of the languages, from the kinds of variation that existed in the language to possible explanations for how the language came to be spoken in its present state.

While it may be too soon to say, there may be implications of this project on the reconstruction of the Proto-Siouan and Proto-Siouan-Catawban.

For example, if the ancestor language of Crow and Hidatsa did indeed exhibit nasal vowels, then this would have direct consequences on reconstruction.

Future directions

- Add more vocabulary, especially near-minimal pairs
- Better understand the idiosyncratic writing systems
- Locate and transcribe more Crow and Hidatsa doculects
- Analyze the data and provide generalizations
- ...

Open to hearing any suggestions!

References: Sources of data

- Boyle, John and Gwin, Alex. 2006. *English-Hidatsa and Hidatsa-English Wordlist*.
- Curtis, Edward. 1909. *The North American Indian*.
- Gallatin, Albert. 1836. *A Synopsis of the Indian Tribes Within the United States East of the Rocky Mountains, and in the British and Russian Possessions in North America*. American Antiquarian Society.
- Hale, Horatio and Gallatin, Albert. 1848. *Hale's Indians of North-West America, and Vocabularies of North America, with an Introduction*.
- Hayden, Ferdinand. 1862. *Contributions to the Ethnography and Philology of the Indian Tribes of the Missouri Valley*.
- Isham, James. 2013. James Isham's Observations on Hudsons Bay, 1743, and Notes and Observations on a Book Entitled A Voyage to Hudsons Bay in the Dobbs Galley, 1749. Champlain Society.
- Kaschube, Dorothea. 1967. *Structural elements of Crow*.
- Lathan, Robert Gordon. 1845. Miscellaneous contributions to the ethnography of North America. *Proceedings of the Philological Society* 2(28), p.31–50.
- Lowie, Robert. 1960. *Crow Word Lists: Crow-English and English-Crow Vocabularies*.
- Matthews, Washington. 1877. *Ethnography and philology of the Hidatsa Indians*.
- Maximilian, Prince of Wied. 1843. *Travels in the Interior of North America: 1832-1834, part 3 and appendix*, trans. Hannibal Evans Lloyd. London: Ackermann & Co.
- Medicine Horse, Mary Helen (Ishtaléeschia Báachiia Héeleetaalawe). 1987. A Dictionary of Everyday Crow.
- Say, Thomas. 1823. *Account of an Expedition from Pittsburgh to the Rocky Mountains: Performed in the Years 1819 and 1820; by Order of the Hon. J. C. Calhoun, Secretary of War, Under the Command of Maj. S. H. Long, of the US Top. Engineers*. London: Longman, Hurst, Rees, Orme, and Brown.
- Schoolcraft, Henry. 1853. *Information Respecting the History, Condition and Prospects of the Indian Tribes of the United States: Collected and Prepared Under the Direction of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Per Act of Congress of March 3d, 1847*.
- Crow Jesuit materials can be found in the *Guide to the Microfilm Edition of the Oregon Province Archives of the Society of Jesus Indian Language Collection*, https://plateauportal.libraries.wsu.edu/system/files/atoms/file/JOPA_Inventory.pdf (last accessed May 24, 2024).
- Other Crow (and Hidatsa) sources can be found in the *Documentation on the Apsáalooke (Crow) Language and Culture: National Anthropological Archives Subject Guide*, https://www.si.edu/media/NMNH/NMNH-NAASubjectGuide_Crow_2022.pdf (last accessed May 24, 2024).

Other references

- Amery, Rob. 2000. *Warraparna Kaurna! Reclaiming an Australian language*. University of Adelaide Press.
- Austin, Peter. 2017. Language documentation and legacy text materials. *Asian and African Languages and Linguistics* 11. 23–44
- Begay, Kayla, Spence, Justin and Tuttle, Cheryl. 2021. Teaching Wailaki: Archives, Interpretation, and Collaboration. In Adrianna Link, Abigail Shelton, and Patrick Spero (eds.) *Indigenous languages and the Promise of Archives*, p.399–423.
- Boas, Franz. 1889. On alternating sounds. *American Anthropologist* 11. 47–53.
- Bowern, Claire. 2003. Laves' Bardi texts. In Joe Blythe & R. McKenna Brown (eds.), *Maintaining the links: Language, identity and the land*, 137–143 (Proceedings of FEL 7). Broome: Foundation for Endangered Languages.
- Broadbent, Sylvia. 1957. Rumsen I: Methods of reconstruction. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, 23(4), 275–280.
- Broadwell, George Aaron and Lillehaugen, Brooke. 2013. Considerations in the creation of an electronic database for Colonial Valley Zapotec. *International Journal of LASSO*, 23(2), 77–110.
- Crowley, Terry and Austin, Peter. 2005. Interpreting old spelling. In Nicholas Thieberger (ed.) *Paper and Talk: A Manual for Reconstituting Materials in Australian Indigenous Languages from Historical Sources*. Canberra, AIATSIS, p.54–102.
- Dobrin, Lise and Schwartz, Saul. 2021. The social lives of linguistic legacy materials. *Language Documentation and Description* 21, 1-36.
- Goddard, Ives. 1973. Philological approaches to the study of Native North American languages: Documents and documentation. In Thomas A. Sebeok (ed.) *Linguistics in North America*. p.727–745.
- Rudes, Blair. 2002. Early Vocabulary and Dictionary Development. A Cautionary Note. In Barbara Bunaby and Jon Reyhner (eds.) *Indigenous Languages Across the Community*, p.189–195.
- Graczyk, Randolph. (1998). The Jesuit Crow Language Materials: 1880-1900. Paper presentation at the *1998 Siouan and Caddoan Language Conference*, Jan. 11, 1998.
- Graczyk, Randolph. (2003). The Jesuit Crow Language Materials: 1880-1900. In Blair Rudes and David Costa (eds.) *Essays in Algonquian, Catawban and Siouan Linguistics in Memory of Frank T. Siebert, Jr*, Winnipeg: Algonquian and Iroquoian Linguistics.
- Graczyk, Randolph. (2005). The Hayden Materials: A Mid-Nineteenth Century Crow Sketch and Vocabulary. Paper presentation at the *2005 Siouan and Caddoan Language Conference*, June 17-19, 2005.
- Graczyk, Randolph. (2007). *A Grammar of Crow*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Haas, Mary. (1969). Swanton and the Biloxi and Ofo dictionaries. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, 35(4), 286-290.
- Rankin, Robert. (1980). Another Look at Virginia Siouan. Paper presentation at the *Conference of American Indian Languages, American Anthropological Association*, Washington, DC.
- Rankin, Robert. (1981). Tutelo Phonology Revisited: The Sapir Transcriptions. Paper presentation at the *Conference of American Indian Languages, American Anthropological Association*, Los Angeles, CA.
- Rankin, R. L. (1990). De Soto's Capaha: Siouan or Tunican?. Mid-America Linguistics Conference.
- Rankin, Robert. (1994). Notes on the Kansa Word List of Maximilian, Prince of Wied. *Kansas Working Papers in Linguistics*, 19(2), p.201-210.
- Rankin, Robert and Shea, Kathleen. (n.d.). Ponca vowel length and the transcriptions of James Owen Dorsey.
- Rankin, Robert. (n.d.). On Biloxi Aspiration.
- Rankin, Robert and Oliverio, Giulia. (2003). On the Subgrouping of Tutelo within Siouan. In Blair Rudes and David Costa (eds.) *Essays in Algonquian, Catawban and Siouan Linguistics in Memory of Frank T. Siebert, Jr*, Winnipeg: Algonquian and Iroquoian Linguistics.
- Rankin, Robert, Carter, Richard, Wesley, Jones, Koontz, John, Rood, David, and Hartmann, Iren, eds. (2015). *Comparative Siouan Dictionary*. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (Available online at <http://csd.eild.org>, Accessed on 2024-03-29.)

Ahó!

Thank you for listening!